# **Edgar County CUD 6 Chrisman, ILLINOIS**



State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

This year, we have updated the report card to provide a full picture of school performance beyond just test scores. A display of this data designed with parents and communities in mind is available on illinoisreportcard.com. All of the metrics posted on illinoisreportcard.com are also included in this report.

## **STUDENTS**

RACIAL/E	RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION													
	Native Hawaiian Two or /Pacific American More White Black Hispanic Asian Islander Indian Races								English-	Percent IEP	Percent Homeless	Total Enrollment		
District	97.5	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.8	35.8	0.0	17.2	0.6	360		
State	50.6	17.6	24.1	4.3	0.1	0.3	3.0	49.9	9.5	13.6	2.0	2,054,155		

**Low-income** students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches. **IEP** students are those students eligible to receive special education services.

**Limited-English-proficient** students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs. **Total Enrollment** is based on Home School.

Homeless students are students who do not have permanent and adequate homes.

RACIAL/E	RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION												
	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate									
District	0.0	0.6	9.4	95.2									
State	2.4	9.8	12.8	94.2									

**Mobility rate** is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year.

**Chronic truants** are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 9 or more of the last 180 school days.

# **INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING**

PARENTAL CONTACT*						
	Percent					
District	82.4					
State	95.5					

TOTAL SCHOOL DAY						
	Days					
District	175					
State	176					

<sup>\*</sup> Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

AVERAGE C	AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)											
Grades	к	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12	Overall	
District State	21.0 21.1	23.0 21.5	20.0 21.5	30.0 21.9	18.0 22.5	16.0 22.5	14.5 23.1	11.5 22.3	12.5 22.2	9.9 19.3	13.2 21.2	


TIME DEVO	TIME DEVOTED TO TEACHING CORE SUBJECTS (Minutes Per Day)												
	Mathematics Science English		English/Language Arts			Social Science							
Grades	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	
District	50	41	41	30	41	41	126	82	82	30	41	41	
State	62	58	55	31	44	46	142	103	92	30	43	45	

TEACHER	INFORMATION	
		% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
District:	All Schools	4.0
	High Poverty Schools	
	Low Poverty Schools	13.3
State:	All Schools	0.2
	High Poverty Schools	0.5
	Low Poverty Schools	0.0

The No Child Left Behind Act requires that information for certain data elements be disaggregated by high- and low-poverty schools. Poverty (low-income) is defined on page 1 of all report cards. High- and low-poverty schools include those in the top and bottom quarters of the poverty distribution of schools in the state. Disaggregated data are reported only if at least one school in your district falls within the high-poverty quarter and at least one school within the low-poverty quarter.

2

# **SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES**



REVENUE BY SOURCE 2011-	12		
	District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$2,156,547	56.4	61.1
Other Local Funding	\$207,022	5.4	4.8
General State Aid	\$914,230	23.9	16.4
Other State Funding	\$351,139	9.2	9.7
Federal Funding	\$193,505	5.1	8.1
TOTAL	\$3,822,443		

EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2011-12										
	District	District %	State %							
Education	\$2,867,342	72.4	73.4							
Operations & Maintenance	\$312,392	7.9	6.2							
Transportation	\$222,088	5.6	3.7							
Debt Service	\$338,225	8.5	7.6							
Tort	\$97,743	2.5	1.2							
Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	\$123,496	3.1	2.0							
Fire Prevention & Safety	\$0	0.0	0.7							
Capital Projects	\$0	0.0	5.2							
TOTAL	\$3,961,286									

OTHER FIN	OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS												
	2010 Equalized	2010 Total School	2011-12 Instructional	2011-12 Operating									
	Assessed Valuation per Pupil	Tax Rate per \$100	Expenditure per Pupil	Expenditure per Pupil									
District	\$120,146	5.21	\$5,663	\$10,862									
State	**	**	\$6,974	\$11,842									

<sup>\*\*</sup> Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.


Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.

Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.

Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

# **ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE**



The number and percent of students taking the ACT are no longer reported since virtually every eleventh grade student takes the ACT as part of the PSAE.

3

\* Includes graduating students' most recent ACT Assessment scores from an ACT national test date or PSAE testing. All students whose scores are college reportable, both standard and extended time tests, are now included. State averages for ACT data are based on regular public schools and do not include private and special purpose schools.

READY FOR	COLLEGE COURSE WORK
District	50.0
State	45.7

HIGH SCHO	HIGH SCHOOL 4-YEAR GRADUATION RATE													
		Gen	der	Race / Ethnicity										
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disad- vantaged
District State	92.6 83.2	87.5 80.3	100.0 86.1	92.3 89.3						100.0 83.1			80.0 70.1	77.8 73.0

нідн ѕсно	OL 5-YEAF	R GRADU	ATION RAT	Έ										
		Ger	nder			Ra	ace / Ethn	icity						
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disad- vantaged
District	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0									100.0	100.0
State	87.0	84.9	89.1	90.7									76.8	80.6

## 2011 NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS (NAEP)

NAEP is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education and administered to students in grade 4, 8, and 12. Only grade 4 and 8 results are required to be reported.

Achievement levels reflect what students should know and be able to do. Based on recommendations from policymakers, educators, and members of the general public, the Governing Board for NAEP sets specific achievement levels for each subject area and grade. To provide a context for interpreting student performance, NAEP results are reported as percentages of students performing below the *Basic* level, at or above the *Basic* and *Proficient* levels, and at the *Advanced* level.

**Basic** denotes partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at a given grade.

**Proficient** represents solid academic performance. Students reaching this level have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter.

Advanced represents superior performance.

The four achievement levels (below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced) are reported as level 1 through level 4, respectively. Please note that only **state results** are reported.

#### Grade 4

#### Grade 4 - All

		Read	ding		Mathematics				
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
	34.7	32.0	24.7	8.6	20.2	41.5	31.4	6.9	

		Read	ding		Mathematics				
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
White	21.8	33.6	32.2	12.3	10.2	38.4	41.6	9.9	
Black	57.7	29.9	10.9	1.6	41.8	43.9	12.8	1.5	
Hispanic	51.2	30.7	15.7	2.4	29.6	50.5	18.5	1.4	
Asian	16.1	31.3	35.5	17.1	5.9	29.4	44.8	19.8	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander									
American Indian									

#### Grade 4 - Limited-English-Proficient

		Read	ding		Mathematics				
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
	77.4	18.1	4.2	0.0	46.4	41.6	11.3	0.8	

### Grade 4 - Students with Disabilities

		Rea	ding			Mather	natics	
Levels	; 1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	67.6	19.4	11.0	2.0	43.2	37.6	17.5	1.6

#### Grade 4 - Economically Disadvantaged

		Read	ding		Mathematics				
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
	52.0	31.6	14.3	2.1	33.1	47.2	18.1	1.5	

#### Grade 4 - NAEP Participation Rates

	Reading	Mathematics
Limited English Proficient	92.4	93.5
Students with Disabilities	91.1	86.1

5

# Grade 8

### Grade 8 - All

		Read	ding		Mathematics				
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
	23.2	43.0	30.3	3.6	26.9	40.2	24.7	8.1	

Grade 8 - Racial/Ethnic Background

		Rea	ding		Mathematics				
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
White	15.3	41.2	38.8	4.7	15.7	40.2	32.8	11.2	
Black	38.0	46.6	14.4	1.0	51.7	38.4	9.4	0.5	
Hispanic	30.6	45.9	21.9	1.6	35.8	45.1	16.4	2.7	
Asian	11.3	34.3	43.1	11.3	7.5	24.0	36.8	31.7	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander									
American Indian									

Grade 8 - Limited-English-Proficient

		Read	ding		Mathematics				
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
	67.9	29.9	2.2	0.0	69.5	27.0	3.2	0.0	

Grade 8 - Students with Disabilities

		Read	ding		Mathematics			
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	63.6	28.5	7.5	0.0	63.6	26.8	7.8	1.7

Grade 8 - Economically Disadvantaged

		Read	ding			Mather	natics	
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	34.4	47.0	17.8	0.9	39.3	43.7	15.0	2.1


Grade 8 - NAEP Participation Rates


	Reading	Mathematics
Limited English Proficient	91.2	89.9
Students with Disabilities	90.2	84.6


6

#### **OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE**

These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your district and the state. They represent your district's performance in reading and mathematics. The ISAT reading and math cut scores were reset for school year 2013. Starting in 2013, Illinois raised the performance cut scores in reading and math to align with college and career ready expectations.










7

#### **ISAT PERFORMANCE**


These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards for the grades and subjects tested on ISAT.









8









#### PSAE PERFORMANCE

These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the average scores and also the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards in reading, mathematics, and science on PSAE.



PSAE scores range from 120 to 200.



Number of students in this District with PSAE scores in 2013: 26

# **PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS**

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics, and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Reading and Mathematics are tested in grades 3 through 8, and 11. Science is tested in grades 4, 7, and 11.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCE	NTAGE OF S	TUDENTS N	OT TESTE	D IN STAT	E TESTING	G PROGRA	AMS FOR F	READING							
			Ge	nder		R	acial/Ethni	c Backgr	ound						
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
	*Enrollment	185	100	85	180	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	36	69
District	Reading	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.6									2.8	1.4
	*Enrollment	1,067,095	545,884	521,053	542,053	188,403	253,427	46,751	1,561	3,694	30,704	73,555	276	143,695	542,427
State -	Reading	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.6	1.1	0.8	0.5

<sup>\*</sup> Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3-8 and 11.

Number of LEP Students who have attended schools in the U.S. for less than 12 months and are not assessed on the State's reading/language arts test:

PERCE	NTAGE OF ST	UDENTS NO		D IN STAT	E TESTING	TESTING PROGRAMS FOR MATHEMATICS  Racial/Ethnic Background									
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Disadv-
	*Enrollment	185	100	85	180	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	36	69
District	Mathematics	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.6									2.8	1.4
	*Enrollment	1,068,846	546,846	521,842	542,440	188,509	254,061	47,334	1,565	3,709	30,726	75,331	278	143,714	543,668
State	Mathematics	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.9	0.5

<sup>\*</sup> Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3-8 and 11.

11

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR SCIENCE															
			Ge	nder		R	acial/Ethni	c Backgr	ound						
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
	*Enrollment	70	45	25	68	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	8	23
District	Science	1.4	2.2	0.0	1.5										4.3
State -	*Enrollment	455,414	232,478	222,882	234,340	79,949	105,892	20,304	615	1,520	12,626	25,072	106	60,808	223,602

<sup>\*</sup> Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 4, 7, and 11.

#### ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

Level 1 -- Academic Warning - Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.

Level 2 -- Below Standards - Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.

Level 3 -- Meets Standards - Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.

Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards - Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

# Grade 3

## Grade 3 - All

		Read	ding		Mathematics					
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
District State	3.4 6.7	34.5 34.5	51.7 39.4	10.3 19.4	10.3 6.9	24.1 38.2	62.1 43.7	3.4 11.1		

#### Grade 3 - Gender

			Rea	ding	_	Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
Male	District	8.3	41.7	33.3	16.7	8.3	16.7	75.0	0.0		
	State	8.2	37.6	38.2	16.0	7.2	37.1	43.9	11.8		
Female	District	0.0	29.4	64.7	5.9	11.8	29.4	52.9	5.9		
	State	5.1	31.3	40.7	23.0	6.6	39.4	43.5	10.4		

12

Grade 3 - Racial/Ethnic Background Reading **Mathematics** 1 2 3 4 1 3 4 Levels White District 3.7 37.0 48.1 11.1 11.1 25.9 59.3 3.7 State 3.3 25.2 44.7 26.8 3.0 28.8 53.2 15.0 Black District 32.4 State 11.8 47.7 8.1 15.5 53.5 27.9 3.1 Hispanic District 10.8 46.7 33.2 9.3 9.6 50.0 35.5 4.9 State Asian District 18.1 42.5 37.1 2.2 18.0 47.4 32.4 2.2 State Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander District 7.5 34.0 38.5 20.0 6.5 35.8 45.3 12.4 State American Indian District State 36.7 38.9 7.2 8.7 41.6 13.0 7.2 46.8 Two or More Races District State 4.7 30.3 41.6 23.4 5.9 35.7 44.8 13.6

Grade 3 - Economically	<sup>,</sup> Disadvaı	ntaged						
		Rea	ding			Mather	matics	
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Free/Reduced Price Lunch								
District	7.1	50.0	35.7	7.1	21.4	28.6	50.0	0.0
State	10.6	46.1	34.4	8.9	11.1	50.0	34.6	4.3
Not Eligible								
District	0.0	20.0	66.7	13.3	0.0	20.0	73.3	6.7
State	2.1	20.9	45.3	31.7	2.1	24.5	54.4	19 1

# Grade 4

Grade 4 - All												
		Read	ding		Mathematics Science							
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
District State	0.0 6.2	27.8 34.6	72.2 44.3	0.0 14.9	5.6 6.6	27.8 33.2	66.7 48.4	0.0 11.8	0.0 2.1	5.6 17.0	83.3 59.9	11.1 21.0

Grade 4 -	Gender												
			Rea	ding			Mathe	matics			Scie	nce	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Male	District State	0.0 7.9	30.0 36.1	70.0 43.0	0.0 13.0	0.0 7.3	30.0 32.8	70.0 47.5	0.0 12.4	0.0 2.4	0.0 16.8	90.0 58.2	10.0 22.6
Female	District State	4.4	32.9	45.7	17.0	5.9	33.5	49.4	11.2	1.7	17.1	61.7	19.4

13

Grade 4 - Racial/Ethnic Background

			Rea	ding			Mathe	matics			Scie	nce	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White													
	District	0.0	29.4	70.6	0.0	5.9	29.4	64.7	0.0	0.0	5.9	88.2	5.9
	State	3.2	25.4	50.8	20.6	3.5	25.4	55.4	15.7	0.8	9.5	60.7	29.0
Black													
	District												
	State	12.3	48.9	33.3	5.6	13.8	47.4	35.4	3.4	4.9	31.8	55.7	7.7
Hispanic													
	District												
	State	8.8	46.8	37.7	6.6	8.8	42.5	43.6	5.1	2.8	23.8	62.8	10.6
Asian													
	District												
	State	2.2	16.3	49.6	31.9	2.4	13.4	48.5	35.8	1.1	6.8	51.4	40.6
Native Hawai	iian/Pacific												
Islander													
	District		24.2	4= 0	4= 0			40.0	40 =		40.0	20.4	
	State	3.9	31.2	47.3	17.6	5.4	32.7	48.3	13.7	1.5	12.2	62.4	23.9
American Inc													
	District												
	State	9.1	42.5	37.6	10.9	9.8	41.2	39.4	9.6	3.6	21.7	61.6	13.1
Two or More													
	District												
	State	5.1	30.5	46.3	18.1	6.2	32.6	47.5	13.7	1.9	14.7	60.1	23.2

# Grade 5

Grade 5 - All

Grado o 7 m								
		Read	ding			Mather	natics	
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
District State	3.3 6.3	46.7 34.8	40.0 42.7	10.0 16.2	13.3 7.2	30.0 33.4	50.0 47.7	6.7 11.7

Grade 5 - Gender

			Rea	ding		Mathematics				
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Male	District	0.0	46.2	46.2	7.7	7.7	30.8	46.2	15.4	
	State	7.9	36.4	41.6	14.1	8.2	33.0	46.3	12.5	
Female	District	5.9	47.1	35.3	11.8	17.6	29.4	52.9	0.0	
	State	4.7	33.1	43.8	18.4	6.1	33.9	49.2	10.9	

14

Grade 5 - Racial/Ethnic Background

			Rea	ding			Mather	matics	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White	District State	3.4 3.1	48.3 25.1	37.9 49.4	10.3 22.3	13.8 3.9	31.0 26.0	48.3 54.7	6.9 15.5
Black	District State	12.4	50.4	31.8	5.5	15.2	48.1	33.8	2.9
Hispanic	District State	9.4	46.7	36.2	7.6	9.1	41.9	43.7	5.3
Asian	District State	2.3	17.7	44.9	35.1	2.4	14.1	46.7	36.9
Native Haw Islander	/aiian/Pacific								
	District State	4.3	32.9	50.7	12.1	4.8	27.8	56.0	11.5
American I	ndian District State	9.4	43.3	38.7	8.7	8.4	41.0	44.7	5.9
Two or Mo	re Races District State	5.2	31.6	43.9	19.3	6.9	32.0	46.1	15.0

# Grade 6

Grade 6 - All

		Reading				Mathematics				
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
District State	0.0 6.1	46.4 35.0	42.9 42.7	10.7 16.2	3.6 7.2	28.6 33.1	64.3 47.0	3.6 12.6		

Grade 6 - Gender

			Rea	ding		Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
Male											
	District	0.0	50.0	37.5	12.5	6.3	31.3	62.5	0.0		
	State	8.0	37.9	41.2	13.0	8.4	33.6	45.0	13.0		
Female											
	District	0.0	41.7	50.0	8.3	0.0	25.0	66.7	8.3		
	State	4.1	31.9	44.4	19.5	6.0	32.7	49.2	12.2		

15

Grade 6 - Racial/Ethnic Background Reading Mathematics Levels 1 2 3 4 1 2 4 White District 0.0 46.4 42.9 10.7 3.6 28.6 64.3 3.6 53.7 State 3.5 27.1 47.8 21.6 4.1 25.6 16.7 Black District 48.5 33.6 State 11.7 33.6 6.3 15.3 47.7 3.4 Hispanic District 8.1 45.1 38.7 8.1 8.7 41.9 43.4 5.9 State Asian District 2.2 17.5 43.4 36.8 2.5 14.2 45.2 38.1 State Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander District 10.6 32.4 42.1 14.8 8.3 36.1 43.5 12.0 State **American Indian** District State 7.7 45.4 36.9 10.0 9.3 43.8 39.1 7.9 Two or More Races District 20.2 State 5.0 30.5 44.3 6.9 30.1 47.3 15.7

Grade 6 - Economically Disadvantaged

Orace o - Economicany	Disauvai	mayeu						
		Rea	ding			Mather	natics	
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Free/Reduced Price Lunch								
District	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	10.0	20.0	70.0	0.0
State	9.6	46.2	37.1	7.2	11.1	43.9	40.0	5.0
Not Eligible								
District	0.0	50.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	33.3	61.1	5.6
State	2.3	22.9	48.9	25.9	3.0	21.4	54.7	20.9

# Grade 7

Grade 7 - All

		Read	ding			Math	ematics		Science			
Levels	1	2	3	4 1 2 3 4				1	2	3	4	
District	4.3	26.1	65.2	4.3	0.0	34.8	56.5	8.7	0.0	0.0	56.5	43.5
State	6.5	35.0	43.6	14.9	7.0	34.0	46.7	12.4	6.9	14.1	54.3	24.7

Grade 7 - Gender

			Rea	ding		Mathematics				Science			
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Male													
	District	7.7	15.4	69.2	7.7	0.0	38.5	53.8	7.7	0.0	0.0	53.8	46.2
	State	8.5	37.4	42.1	11.9	8.5	34.5	44.2	12.8	8.3	14.6	50.6	26.5
Female													
	District	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	60.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0
	State	4.4	32.5	45.1	18.0	5.4	33.4	49.3	11.9	5.4	13.5	58.2	22.8

16

	Racial/Ethnic		Rea	dina			Mathe	matics			Scie	nce	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White													
	District	4.3	26.1	65.2	4.3	0.0	34.8	56.5	8.7	0.0	0.0	56.5	43.5
	State	4.0	28.2	48.4	19.4	4.1	27.3	52.5	16.1	3.6	9.0	53.2	34.2
Black	District												
	State	12.3	47.6	34.2	5.9	14.2	47.5	35.0	3.3	14.3	24.0	54.0	7.7
Hispanic													
	District	8.3	43.8	39.6	8.3	8.6	42.2	42.2	6.0	9.1	19.3	50.0	12.6
	State	0.3	43.0	39.0	0.3	0.0	42.2	43.3	0.0	9.1	19.5	59.0	12.0
Asian	District												
	State	2.3	16.7	47.7	33.3	2.3	13.4	46.0	38.4	2.8	5.3	45.7	46.2
Native Haw Islander	aiian/Pacific												
	District State	5.2	36.6	43.3	14.9	6.7	34.9	44.6	13.8	6.0	15.3	55.6	23.1
American II	ndian												
	District	0.7	20.0	20.2	44.0	0.4	40.5	44.0	0.7	0.5	47.7	52.0	40.0
	State	9.7	39.2	39.3	11.8	9.4	40.5	41.3	8.7	9.5	17.7	53.0	19.8
Two or Mor	re Races District												
	State	6.1	31.3	43.7	18.9	6.9	31.6	45.5	15.9	6.4	12.4	51.2	29.9

# Grade 8

Grad	e 8	- A	П
------	-----	-----	---

		Read	ding		Mathematics				
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
District State	8.3 5.9	20.8 34.4	50.0 41.7	20.8 18.1	4.2 5.4	37.5 35.7	54.2 45.7	4.2 13.2	

Grade 8 - Gender

			Rea	ding		Mathematics				
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Male	District	9.1	18.2	72.7	0.0	0.0	54.5	45.5	0.0	
	State	8.0	36.9	39.5	15.6	6.5	36.1	44.0	13.4	
Female	District	7.7	23.1	30.8	38.5	7.7	23.1	61.5	7.7	
	State	3.7	31.7	43.9	20.7	4.2	35.4	47.4	12.9	

17

			Rea	ding			Mather	natics	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White									
	District	8.3	20.8	50.0	20.8	4.2	37.5	54.2	4.2
	State	3.8	26.5	45.2	24.5	3.5	28.5	50.6	17.3
Black									
	District								
	State	11.1	48.9	33.9	6.1	11.2	51.9	33.6	3.3
Hispanic	·								
	District								
	State	7.1	44.0	39.5	9.4	5.5	43.4	44.6	6.5
Asian									
	District								
	State	2.5	17.0	43.6	36.8	1.9	14.2	44.6	39.3
Native Haw	/aiian/Pacific								
Islander									
	District								
	State	8.3	38.4	40.7	12.6	4.0	39.3	47.9	8.9
American I	ndian								
American i	District								
	State	6.8	40.2	42.8	10.2	7.5	41.7	41.1	9.7
Two or Mo		0.0	70.Z	72.0	10.2	7.0	71.7	71.1	3.7
I WU UI IVIO									
	District		24.5	40.5	00.4		24.0	40.0	40-
	State	5.6	31.5	40.5	22.4	5.5	34.8	43.0	16.7

Grade 8 - Economically Disadvantaged

_		Rea	ding		Mathematics					
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
Free/Reduced Price Lunch										
District	20.0	10.0	40.0	30.0	10.0	60.0	20.0	10.0		
State	9.1	46.0	36.8	8.0	8.3	47.3	39.3	5.1		
Not Eligible										
District	0.0	28.6	57.1	14.3	0.0	21.4	78.6	0.0		
State	2.7	22.8	46.5	28.1	2.5	24.3	52.0	21.1		

## PRAIRIE STATE ACHIEVEMENT EXAMINATION (PSAE)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

Level 1 -- Academic Warning - Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.

Level 2 -- Below Standards - Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.

Level 3 -- Meets Standards - Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.

Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards - Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

#### Grade 11

#### Grade 11 - All

		Read	ing			Mathematics				Science			
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
District State	11.5 8.2	46.2 37.1	30.8 42.9	11.5 11.9	7.7 9.9	42.3 38.3	46.2 42.4	3.8 9.4	3.8 9.2	46.2 41.4	50.0 38.0	0.0 11.4	

18

			Rea	Reading			Mathe	matics		Science			
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Male	District State	15.8 10.6	42.1 37.1	31.6 40.5	10.5 11.9	10.5 10.0	47.4 36.4	36.8 42.7	5.3 10.9	5.3 9.5	47.4 38.0	47.4 38.7	0.0 13.9
Female	District State	5.7	37.1	45.3	11.9	9.9	40.2	42.1	7.8	9.0	44.8	37.3	8.9

<b>Grade 11 -</b>	Racial/Ethni	c Backgro	und							_			
			Read	ling			Mather	natics			Scier	1се	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White													
	District State	12.0 4.8	44.0 27.5	32.0 50.9	12.0 16.9	8.0 4.9	40.0 30.0	48.0 52.1	4.0 12.9	4.0 4.3	44.0 31.3	52.0 47.9	0.0 16.5
Black													
	District State	15.7	55.4	27.0	1.9	24.2	54.7	20.3	0.8	22.5	59.8	16.6	1.1
Hispanic													
	District State	11.7	50.8	33.4	4.1	13.0	50.8	33.5	2.7	12.8	56.4	27.4	3.4
Asian													
	District State	4.8	23.3	48.7	23.2	3.5	20.4	48.5	27.5	4.4	25.9	46.3	23.4
	vaiian/Pacific												
Islander	District												
	State	8.9	35.8	44.7	10.6	7.3	38.2	47.2	7.3	5.7	42.3	43.9	8.1
American I	ndian												
	District State	8.6	42.1	39.2	10.1	14.0	41.6	39.5	4.9	10.9	43.7	37.5	8.0
Two or Mor	re Races District												
	State	7.2	32.8	44.3	15.7	8.4	37.3	42.2	12.1	7.3	39.1	39.2	14.4

## **2013 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) STATUS REPORT**

Is this district making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	No
Is this district making AYP in Reading?	No
Is this district making AYP in Mathematics?	No

Has this district been identified for District AYP specifications of the federal No Child	 No
2013-14 Federal Improvement Status	
2013-14 State Improvement Status	

		Percent T State				Percent N	leeting/Ex	ceeding St	tandards *			Other In	dicators	
	Read	ding	Mather	matics	Reading			Mathematics			Attendance Rate		Graduation Rate	
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
State AYP Minimum Target	95.0		95.0		92.5			92.5			92.0		85.0	
All	99.5	Yes	99.5	Yes	60.1	63.5	Yes	63.0	68.3	No	95.2	Yes	100.0	Yes
White Black Hispanic Asian Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander American Indian Two or More Races	99.4	Yes	99.4	Yes	59.5	63.3	No	62.5	68.1	No	95.5		100.0	
LEP Students with Disabilities Economically Disadvantaged	98.6	Yes	98.6	Yes	51.7	58.7	No	46.7	58.7	No	94.4		100.0	

Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):

- 1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.
- 2. At least 92.5% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 92.5% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions.\*\*\*
- 3. At least 92% attendance rate for non-high schools.
- 4. At least 85.0% graduation rate for high schools. The State would first examine whether the school met the target for the four-year graduation rate. If it did not, the State would then determine whether the school met the five-year graduation rate target. If either of those rates were met, this would indicate that the school met the other academic indicator for AYP. The largest number among the 4-year and 5-year graduation rates would be printed.

<sup>\*</sup> Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2012.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Safe Harbor Targets of 92.5% or above are not printed.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.

# **2013 STUDENT ACADEMIC GROWTH**

	Average Growth Valu	е
	Reading	Math
District	107.6	100.0
State	102.1	101.4

Illinois has chosen to use a value table methodology to determine the school and district growth metric based on student performance on large-scale assessments (the ISAT). The numbers contained in the value table represent the number of students in each cell. The average of all students' academic growth over two year's performance will be used to determine the growth metric.

# Reading

					Pe	rformance Le	evel in Year 2	2		
				lemic rning	Below Standards		Meets Standards			eeds dards
			1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B
	Academic	1A								
<del>-</del>	Warning	1B		3	2					
Year	Below Standards	2A		1	5	4	3			
evel in		2B			5	17	9	3	1	
nce L	Meets	3A				8	13	8	2	1
Performance Level in Year 1	Standards  Exceeds	3B				1	3	14	5	1
Pe		4A					2	4	1	
	Standards	4B								1

# Math

					Pe	rformance Le	evel in Year 2	2		
				lemic rning	Bel Stand			ets dards	Exceeds Standards	
			1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B
	Academic	1A								
Performance Level in Year 1	Warning	1B	1			1				
	Below Standards	2A		2	4	5	1			
evel		2B		1	6	15	8	1		
ance l	Meets	3A			2	5	28	7		
erform	Standards	3B				1	9	12	4	
-	Exceeds	4A						1	2	
	Standards	4B								

# FEDERAL SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT STATUS

Below is a list of the Title I funded schools in the district that are in Federal School Improvement Status as defined by the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001.

Number of schools in this district: 3 Number of Title I schools: 1

Number of Title I schools in Federal School Improvement Status: 0 % Percent of schools in Federal School Improvement Status: 0 %

School ID School Name Years in School Improvement